ORIGINAL

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RETURN.



Ų		000094178		
1	LUBIN & ENOCH, P.C. Nicholas J. Enoch	RECEIVED		ĺ
2	State Bar No. 016473			
3	Jarrett J. Haskovec State Bar No. 023926	2009 FEB 27 ₱ 4: 13		
4	349 North Fourth Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85003 Telephone: (602) 234-0008	AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL		
5	Facsimile: (602) 626-3586 E-mail: <u>nicholas.enoch@azbar.c</u>	Δ	rizona Corporation Commi DOCKETEL	sion 7
6	Attorneys for Intervenor IBEW	Local 1116		
7			FEB 27 2009	
8		N COMMISSION	DOCKETED BY	
10	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TRICO	Docket No. E-0146	61A-08-0430	
11	ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC., AN ARIZONA NONPROFIT			
12	CORPORATION, FOR A PERMANENT RATE INCREASE,			
13	FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF THE	NOTICE OF FILING	DIRECT	
14	CORPORATION'S ELECTRIC SYSTEM FOR RATEMAKING	TESTIMONY OF FRAM	NK GRIJALVA	

Pursuant to the Administrative Law Judge's Procedural Order (p. 2) dated September 18, 2008, Local Union 1116, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, AFL-CIO, CLC ("IBEW Local 1116"), by and through undersigned counsel, hereby provides notice of its filing of the attached Direct

Testimony of Frank Grijalva in this docket.

PURPOSES, FOR A FINDING OF

A JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON, AND FOR

APPROVAL OF RATE SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 27th day of February 2009.

LUBIN & ENOCH, P.C.

Nicholas J. Enoch, Esq. Attorney for Intervenor

ORIGINAL and thirteen (13) copies of IBEW Local 1116's Testimony filed this 27th day of February, 2009, with: 2 Arizona Corporation Commission 3 Docket Control Center 1200 West Washington Street 4 Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2996 5 COPIES of the foregoing transmitted electronically 6 this same date to: 7 Jane L. Rodda, Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division 8 Arizona Corporate Commission 400 West Congress, Ste. 218 9 Tucson, Arizona 85701-1352 10 Russell E. Jones, Esq. Waterfall, Economidis, Caldwell, Hanshaw & Villamana 11 5210 East Williams Circle, Ste. 800 Tucson, Arizona 85711 12 Attorney for Applicant 13 Janice M. Alward, Esq. Chief Counsel, Legal Division 14 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington 15 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 16 Ernest Johnson, Director Utilities Division 17 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington 18 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 19 C. Webb Crockett, Esq. Fennemore Craig, P.C. 20 3003 North Central Avenue, Ste. 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913 21 Attorney for Intervenor Freeport-McMoRan 22 23 24 25

26

27

28

- Q1. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- A1. Frank Grijalva. My business address is 750 South Tucson Boulevard, Tucson, Arizona 85716-5689.
- O2. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR RECENT EMPLOYMENT.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I am the Business Manager/Financial Secretary for Intervenor A2. Local Union 1116, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, AFL-CIO ("IBEW Local 1116"). The position of Business Manager/Financial Secretary is an elected union position and, due to the retirement of my predecessor, I was appointed by our Executive Board to my present position in October 2007. Because all IBEW local unions also have a person holding the position of "President," it is common for persons outside of our organization to believe that the "President" is the principal officer of the Local. not the case. Article 17, §§ 4 and 8 of the Constitution of the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, AFL-CIO, clearly states that the Business Manager/Financial Secretary is the "principal officer" of any IBEW local union.

Prior to my becoming Business Manager/Financial
Secretary for IBEW Local 1116, I was employed by the
Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP") for twenty-two
(22) years in a variety of bargaining unit positions,
the last of which was as a Designer for Transmission
and Distribution Construction. While employed at TEP,
I was a very active member of IBEW Local 1116,
including previously serving as the Local's President
and in other positions on the Executive Board.

Q3. WHAT IS IBEW LOCAL 1116?

A3. IBEW Local 1116 is the labor organization which serves as the exclusive representative for, inter alia, approximately one-hundred (100) employees of Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("Trico"). IBEW Local 1116 and Trico have been parties to a long series of collective bargaining agreements ("CBA") concerning rates of pay, wages, hours of employment, and other terms and conditions of employment at Trico. The current CBA remains in force between the parties with the earliest date of termination being December 31, 2011. IBEW Local 1116 represents all of Trico's employees except for managerial, professional and administrative employees, who, because of the nature of their work, are identified with the executive and supervisory functions.

In addition to representing the employees at Trico, IBEW Local 1116 also represents several hundred non-managerial workers at TEP. While TEP is not directly involved in this case, the fact remains that due to their physical proximity to each other, TEP and Trico compete against each other for highly skilled utility workers in what I would generally call the Tucson labor market. Thus, it is very difficult, if not impossible, to assess the reasonableness of Trico's labor costs without some comparison to that of TEP. After all, many of Trico's employees reside in the TEP certificated area and vice versa.

our website at www.ibew1116.com.

To learn more about IBEW Local 1116, I invite you to visit

Q4. DO YOU BELIEVE TRICO IS A RESPONSIBLE CORPORATE CITIZEN?

A4. Absolutely. While by no means perfect, the relationship between IBEW Local 1116 and Trico is one which is mature and stable. It is clear that this stability has benefited Trico, its employees, and customers. In my opinion, the importance of the strong and stable relationship between a public service corporation and its employees cannot be overstated. I firmly believe that my opinion in this regard is shared by the executives at Trico.

Q5. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A5. I am testifying in support of Trico's Application for a hate hike.

As you know, Article XV, §3 of the Arizona Constitution expressly states that the interests of public service employees are on par with those of patrons. It reads as follows:

The corporation commission shall have full power to, and shall ... make reasonable rules, regulations, and orders, by which such [public service] corporations shall be governed in the transaction of business within the State, and ... make and enforce reasonable rules, regulations, and orders for the convenience, comfort, and safety, and the preservation of the health, of the employees and patrons of such corporations[.]

On behalf of its own members, as well as tens of thousands of patrons of Trico, IBEW Local 1116 believes this proceeding provides it with a unique and timely opportunity to express to this Commission our qualified support of Trico's Application and our reasons for doing so.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

It goes without saying that it costs a substantial amount of money for a public service corporation to hire, train, and maintain a highly skilled work force. Similarly, it costs a great deal of money for any public service corporation to preserve the safety and health of its employees and patrons. Unlike Trico's rates, the wages paid by Trico to its employees, and, indirectly, to the employees of its contractors, have continued to rise over the past few years. Given the aging of certain segments of Trico's workforce and, indeed, the utility workforce in general, it is critically important that Trico should have the financial ability to attract and retain a highly skilled workforce. This is particular true if Trico continues to grow at even a fraction of the rate that it has in recent years. this point, I fully agree with the underlying premise behind that Trico's Application that exhortations to "work harder" and "work smarter" cannot change the fundamental nature of increasing marginal costs of serving an ever growing base of customers. Our International President, Edwin D. Hill, made similar points in his 2008 State of Our Union address regarding the utility sector, the webcast of which is available at www.ibew.org/sou-cbs/index.asp.

In these tremendously difficult economic times, I am certain that many in the public may not understand, or want to understand, the need to raise their electric rates, in part, for this reason, but I can assure you Trico is competing for a talented workforce, particularly when it comes to linemen and all aspects of the skilled construction workforce. Unlike most of the national and local economy, this is one portion of the job market where demand outpaces supply. Unless Trico has the ability to provide a highly competitive employment package, you can be assured that Trico and, in turn, the public will suffer. I hope that this Commission and its Staff bear this is mind, for, in my opinion, it is in the interests of all consumers to have a highly skilled workforce providing safe and reliable service even if that means that they are paying, what they at least believe to be, something more than rock-bottom prices for electric To this end, IBEW Local 1116 believes that the service. rate relief proposed in this case will help ensure that Trico will be able to meet its commitments to its employees and customers in the years to come.

21 22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q6. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT TRICO IS ENTITLED TO AN INCREASE IN ITS

RATES AS CLAIMED, AND IN THE AMOUNTS SET FORTH, ON PAGES

THREE AND FOUR OF CAROLINE M. GARDINER'S PRE-FILED TESTIMONY

DATED AUGUST 15, 2008?

A6. Yes.

27

26

28

Q7.	ON PAGE FOUR OF HIS PRE-FILED TESTIMONY DATED AUGUST 15,
	2008, MARK W. SCHWARTZ BRIEFLY DISCUSSED THE FACT THAT
	"TRICO IS EXPERIENCING SIGNIFICANTLY HIGHER LABOR
	COSTS TO MEET THIS GROWTH." DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS OR
	OBSERVATIONS THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO SHARE WITH THE
	COMMISSION DECARDING THIS TOPIC?

A7. Yes. While IBEW Local 1116 does not dispute the fact that the costs associated with employee benefit plans and, in particular, health care insurance have grown significantly in recent years, I also believe that the point should be made that IBEW Local 1116 has worked with Trico to mitigate the expenses associated with such plans. An increase in rates should be such that it would eliminate whatever pressure is on Trico to increasingly shift healthcare costs to its represented employees.

Q8. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

A8. Yes.

F:\Law Offices\client directory\IBEW 1. 1116\021\Pleadings\2009-02 26 GrijalvaTestimony-021.pld.wpd